



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/002,554 | 11/01/2001 | John William Forsyth Macartney | 30011271 US01 | 3793 |
| 7590 08/28/2006 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Paul D. Greeley, Esq. | | | TIEU, BENNY QUOC | |
| Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P. | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| One Landmark | | 2614 | | |
| Stamford, CT 06901-2682 | | | DATE MAILED: 08/28/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | 10/002,554 | MACARTNEY ET AL. | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Benny Q. Tieu | 2614 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ad will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDO | ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under | nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, p | | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) 1-5,10-18 and 23-29 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdown claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5,10-18 and 23-29 is/are rejected claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | rawn from consideration. | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | • 10 | | | | |
| 10) | The specification is objected to by the Examing The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the | ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is | See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority (| ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | • | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Information | et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other: | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1-5, 10-18, and 23-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim 27 recites "A computer program element, comprising computer readable program code for causing a processor to execute a procedure to implement the method of claim 14" and claim 29 recites "A computer readable medium... the method of claim 14" that do not comply with the subject matter eligibility requirement of 35 U.S.C. 101. Computer programs claimed as computer listings per se, i.e., the descriptions or expressions of the programs, are not physical "things." They are neither computer components nor statutory processes, as they are not "acts" being performed. Such claimed computer programs do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and other claimed elements of a computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized. In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a **computer program** is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. See Lowry, 32 F.3d at 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d at 1035. Accordingly, it is important

Art Unit: 2614

to distinguish claims that define descriptive material per se from claims that define statutory inventions.

The specification on page 8 states the computer readable medium includes signal, hence, claims 27-29 are signal claims which fail to comply with the subject matter eligibility requirement of 35 U.S.C. 101. Claims 1-5 and 10-18 and 23-26 are apparatus for processing data records and method of processing data records that manipulate of data using software.

Accordingly, Claims 1-5 and 10-18 and 23-26 fail to comply with the subject matter eligibility requirement of 35 U.S.C. 101.

Conclusion

3. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(571) 273-7490, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benny Q. Tieu whose telephone number is (571) 272-7490. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 6:30AM - 5:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/002,554 Page 4

Art Unit: 2614

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wing Chan can be reached on 571-272-7493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Benny Q. Tieu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2614

Borng Drew

August 21, 2006